

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: A	NNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV	ERY (CI)		
R	E-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT N	O:		
AIRS ID#: 0170042 DATE	:: <u>7/9/07</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:15</u>	<b>DEPART:</b> 3:30		
FACILITY NAME: RINK	ER MATERIALS				
FACILITY LOCATION:	2975 South Lecanto Hwy	y (CR 491			
	LECANTO 34461				
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIA	L: JEFFREY PORTER	PHON	NE: (561)820-8415		
CONTACT NAME: Ryan Peterson		PHON	NE:		
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITLI	EMENT PERIOD: 9/18/20 (effective o			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> C	OMPLIANCE STATUS (cho	eck 🗹 only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE	MINOR Non-COMP	LIANCE SIGNIFICA	ANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: TESTING/RECO	ORDKEEPING REQUIREM box(es))	<u> 1ENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, 1	F.A.C.		
Stack Emissions					
1. Were visible emissio 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ns tests conducted during this	site visit according to EPA N	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter		
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?   Yes  No					
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,					
unless such rate is un	nachievable in practice?		\ Yes \ \ No		
to this question is "Y	the weigh hopper (batcher) op Yes", then continue on to quest	ions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If a	nswer is "No" then		
a) Was the batching	operation in operation during	the visible emissions test?			
b) During the visible duration?	e emissions test, was the batch	ing rate representative of the	normal batching rate and		
5. If emissions from the from the silo dust co	e weigh hopper (batcher) operallector, are the visible emission	ation are controlled by a dust ns tests of the weigh hopper (	collector, which is separate		

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?  b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	to □Yes □ No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))  1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (Please check ☑ only one box.)	le 🗌
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)					
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))					
H # 1E '					
<u>Unconfined</u> Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)					
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined					
emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following					
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yar					
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-sup					
emissions?	. ⊠Yes □ No				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other pa					
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to re					
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind					
particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠Yes □ No				
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate en					
	1 1				
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - Ru	de 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.				
A. New or Modified Process Equipment					
1 0' 4 1 4 1					
1. Since the last inspection has there been					
a) installation of any new process equipment?					
b) alterations to existing process equipment without repla		□Yes ⊠ No			
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially difference recent position form?	ent than that noted on the most	□Yes ⊠ No			
recent notification form?					
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or					
local program office?	□Yes □ No				
local program office:					
Neal B. Janis	7/9/07				
Near B. Jamis	1/9/01				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
inspector s reame (rease rime)	Bute of inspection				
	1 year				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				
	•				
COMMENTS: approx. 88,000 low sulfer diesel & 145,000 tons ma	terial				